

26 APR 1978

OLC #78-1718/1

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

VIA: Director of Logistics

FROM:

[REDACTED]
Deputy Chief, Real Estate and Construction
Division, OL

SUBJECT: DIA Building Location

- REFERENCES:
- (a) Memo dtd 20 Apr 78 to DDA fr DCI,
same subject (ER 78-1219; DD/A 78-
0094/2; OL 8 1748)
 - (b) Memo dtd 3 Nov 77 to DCI fr DC/RECD/OL,
subject: Additional Information
Regarding Relocation of CIA/DIA
Facilities (OL 7 5024)

1. In the recent discussion between the DCI and the Secretary of Defense concerning the DIA Building location, several questions were raised about which the DCI requested further information. The questions are restated and answered below:

a. Question: The Secretary of Defense's staff indicated that it will now take three years to get all the clearances to build in Langley. Please check what they said when we did the original study on this.

Answer: The original study, dated 7 October 1977, and jointly prepared by CIA and DIA (subsequently, at the request of the DCI, superseded by reference (b)) indicated that the construction of the DIA Building at Langley ". . . would delay the DIA project several years while the necessary engineering analyses and, more importantly, Government approvals were obtained." This statement was based on DIA's experience at Bolling (wherein approximately six years were required to obtain these approvals, albeit with several redirections of the entire project) and GSA's advice that 18 months

OL 8 1798

SUBJECT: DIA Building Location

is the average time for such approvals. CIA's subsequent study (reference (b)) indicated that if, through the DCI's direct involvement, it could be ensured that Congressional approval and funding and local governmental approvals could all be obtained within a maximum of nine months, the project could be completed by 1982, the then projected DIA project completion date.

b. Question: Outline for me the steps that we would have to go through to get permission to put the DIA Building in Langley and what are my extraordinary authorities in this regard.

Answer: Three basic steps are required: funding and project approval, regulatory agency and public coordination, and design and construction.

(1) Regarding funding and project approval, we believe that as a minimum the full support of the Secretary of Defense and OMB would be required as would the specific approval of Congress. The Office of Legislative Counsel and Comptroller would be in the best position to comment on the specific actions which would be required by each of the parties and, of course, only the DCI is in a position to judge the political feasibility of the proposal.

(2) Regarding regulatory agency and public coordination, as a minimum it would be necessary to coordinate with the Environmental Protection Agency, Council on Environmental Quality, National Capital Planning Commission, Housing and Urban Development, GSA, Fairfax County, Commonwealth of Virginia, and a number of private citizens groups. Of particular concern are delays which might result because of legal actions initiated by affected private citizens or civic groups. The history of the Route 66 Corridor Project is a vivid example of the delays which can result should local government or citizen opposition develop and be pursued within the legal system. Regulatory agency and public coordination must proceed concurrently with project and funding approvals if delays are to be minimized.

SUBJECT: DIA Building Location

(3) Regarding design and construction, normally, and in compliance with legal and procedural guidelines, such activities would be pursued sequentially and contracted for on a formally advertised, firm, fixed-price basis. In order to complete construction within originally estimated time frames, it would be necessary for the Agency to contract directly on a negotiated, sole source basis for both design and construction, thus permitting these activities to proceed concurrently. Overt CIA construction of the magnitude and type envisioned at Langley would normally be the prerogative of the Administrator of GSA. Based upon certification of operational necessity, it might be possible to bypass GSA citing the DCI's unique authorities permitting expenditure of funds without regard to the provisions of law and regulations as contained in Sections 8.a. and 8.b. of the CIA Act of 1949. This would, of course, have to be confirmed by the Office of General Counsel (OGC). If utilization of these DCI extraordinary authorities is not considered feasible, then previous experience would indicate that direct Congressional or Presidential participation is required. This conclusion is based upon experience with construction of the Headquarters Building itself (in which Congress directly authorized methods of funding and construction) and the conversion of [redacted] (in which direct Presidential intervention permitted extraordinary contractual procedures).

25X1

2. The above is a succinct summary of a legally and politically complex issue. The Office of Logistics, in concert with OGC and other staff elements, can provide further detail as required.

25X1

Att:
Reference (a)

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001400090078-3

ATTACHMENT

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001400090078-3

DD/A Registry

78-0094/2

Executive Registry

78-1219

20 APR 1978

OLC #78-1718

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration
FROM: Director of Central Intelligence
SUBJECT: DIA Building Location

1. The Deputy Secretary of Defense talked to me about location of the DIA Building. His staff is throwing up a bunch of smoke screens about it will now take three years to get all the clearances to build in Langley. Please check what they said when we did the original study on this. It seems to me they only indicated it would be a year's delay or a little bit more.

2. Beyond that, would you please, in a very short memo, outline for me the steps that we would have to go through to get permission to put the DIA Building in Langley. I would also appreciate knowing what my "extraordinary authorities" are in this regard. Deputy Secretary of Defense indicated if we were to go ahead in Langley I would have to use some extraordinary authorities I have under the law to permit concurrent construction and design.

STANSFIELD TURNER

STAT

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001400090078-3

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
Routing Slip

DDA

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		✓		
2	DDCI		✓		
3	D/DCI/IC				
4	DDS&T				
5	DDI				
6	DDA	✓			
7	DDO				
8	D/DCI/NI				
9	GC				
10	LC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/Pers				
14	D/S				
15	DTR				
16	A/DCI/PA				
17	AO/DCI				
18	C/IPS				
19	DCI/SS				
20	ES		✓		
21					
22					
SUSPENSE		Date			

Remarks:

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001400090078-3

25X1

*To: PLE
This is sum of attached
etc*

DIA Building in Langley

7 October 1977

1. CIA-DIA Study - several years for necessary approvals *Don't* *Sec of Def. 3 years* *and delays*
2. GSA - 18 months *3 Nov 77*
3. CIA study *with DCI direct involvement,* 9 months for Congressional approval - *Project would be done by 1982.*

Steps

1. Funding and project approval
 - a. OSD & OMB - support
 - b. Congress - approvalOLC and Comp to comment on required actions
2. Regulatory and public coordination:
 - a. EPA
 - b. Council on Environmental Quality
 - c. National Capital Planning Commission
 - d. HUD
 - e. GSA
 - f. Fairfax County
 - g. Commonwealth of Virginia
 - h. Private citizens groups

Delays



LAW suits by private citizen groups

3. Design and construction
 - a. formally advertised
 - b. fixed price basis(1) Administrator of GSA

Otherwise: DCI extra authorities or Cong. or Pres. involvement

Detail

furthering

Mari

Will you
please prepare
a short
para. on this
and make
it a note
to Kyle.
Thanks,
MC

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001400090078-3

Approved For Release 2004/12/22 : CIA-RDP81M00980R001400090078-3